THE NOMENCLATURE IN AN IMPORTANT BRITISH CHECK LIST (1972) PART 1: CORRECTIONS OF FAMILY-GROUP NAMES FOR LEPIDOPTERA (EXCEPT GEOMETRIDAE)

Inst. of Exptl Phytopathol. and Entomology, Slovak Academy of Sciences Ivanka pri Dunaji, Czechoslovakia JURAJ PACLT

J. D. BRADLEY, D. S. FLETCHER AND P. E. S. WHALLEY prepared a manuscript on Lepidoptera for the second (revised) edition of 'Kloet and Hincks'. This has been published on 29th December, 1972 as Part 2 of the series entitled traditionally 'A Check List of British Insects'.

Unfortunately, the very laborious and modern catalogue of British Lepidoptera as presented now by the Royal Entomological Society of London does not fulfill expectations in some respects of the nomenclature used.

As to the family-group taxa, one finds occasionally an offense against the legalized formation of their names: Family no. 50 is wrongly named 'Endromidae' (endromis, -idos), instead of Endromididae, in the new catalogue. Later, the family no. 38 includes a subfamily Evergestinae, misspelled by the authors as 'Evergestiinae'. In other cases, family-group names ending on -stominae are given: 'Cerostominae' (in the family no. 23) and 'Enicostominae' (in the family no. 28). Although both subfamilies are called correctly Plutellinae and Depressariinae respectively, and although the genera Cerostoma and Enicostoma have been replaced as junior synonyms by Plutella and Depressaria respectively, the above family-group names should be referred to as Cerostomatinae and Enicostomatinae. An additional case—the family-group name 'Cemiostominae'—is dealt with in the following paragraphs.

The families no. 11, 15, 17, 44, 52, 59, and 61 (the numbers correspond to the classification adopted actually by Bradley, Fletcher and Whalley) and their nomenclature receive a special attention in the discussions below.

No. 11. Limacodidae, type-genus (familiotype) Cochlidium Huebner, 1822. The oldest valid name of this genus being Apoda Haworth, 1809, family-group names Limacodidae and Cochlidiidae fall to the ground in favor of Apodidae.

No. 15. Lyonetiidae, comprising two subfamilies: Lyonetiinae and 'Cemiostominae' (i.e. Cemiostomatinae). The latter is based on Cemiostoma Zeller, 1848, a synonym of Leucoptera Huebner, 1825. Cemiostomatinae must, therefore, be put aside in favor of Leucopterinae. No. 17. Gracillariidae, type-genus (familiotype) Gracillaria Haworth, 1828, a genus which is now considered as congeneric (despite of its status of a separate subgenus) with Caloptilia Huebner, 1825. The well-known family-group name Gracillariidae must, therefore, be replaced by Caloptiliidae. The names of two subfamilies included are similarly to be corrected: Caloptiliinae (instead of Gracillariinae) and Phyllonorycterinae (based on Phyllonorycter Huebner, 1822; instead of Lithocolletinae).

No. 44. Nemeobiidae, type-genus (familiotype) Nemeobius Stephens, 1827. For reasons of priority, Nemeobius has been replaced by Hamearis Huebner, 1819, a name which is today universally in use for the genus typified by Papilio lucina Linnaeus, 1758. The family-group name Riodinidae is based on Riodina Westwood, type-genus of Riodininae which constitute the typical subfamily of Riodinidae. Hamearis Huebner, on the other hand, became type-genus of another subfamily, namely Nemeobiinae, now to be suppressed in favor of Hamearinae, nom. nov.

No. 52. Thyatiridae, type-genus (familiotype) Cymatophora Treitschke, 1825 (nec Huebner, 1812!) which has been considered for many past decades as a synonym of Tethea Ochsenheimer, 1816. The corresponding family-group name would be Tetheidae. If, however, the genus Cymatophora Treitschke, 1825 will prove definitely to have the same type-species as Achlya Billberg, 1820, and later if Achlya, Tethea and Polyploca Huebner, 1821 are all recognized to be independent genera, a change of the family-group name would result (Achlyidae, instead of Tetheidae).

No. 59. Ctenuchidae, type-genus (familiotype) Ctenucha Kirby, 1837. All of the species enumerated represent imigrants to Britain and belong to the subfamilies Euchromiinae and Syntomidinae respectively, the latter being named wrongly, by the authors, 'Syntominae' (Syntomiss syn + tomis, -idos; fem.). The family-group name Syntomidinae falls, however, to the ground in favour of Amatinae since Syntomis Ochsenheimer, 1816 proved to be a junior synonym of Amata Fabricius, 1807 (Mag. Insektenk., Illiger, 6, p.289).

No. 61. Noctuidae, subfamilies Chloephorinae and Sarrothripinae cannot bear their names any more since the subfamiliotypes Chloephora Stephens, 1827 and Sarrothripus Curtis, 1824 became in the meantime junior synonyms of Pseudoips Huebner, 1822 and Nycteola Huebner, 1822 respectively. Accordingly, alternative names must be introduced for the two subfamilies: Pseudoipinae and Nycteolinae.